

Reconstruction in the Calderón Problem with Partial Data

Adrian Nachman* and Brian Street†

Abstract

We consider the problem of recovering the coefficient $\sigma(x)$ of the elliptic equation $\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla u) = 0$ in a body from measurements of the Cauchy data on possibly very small subsets of its surface. We give a constructive proof of a uniqueness result by Kenig, Sjöstrand, and Uhlmann. We construct a uniquely specified family of solutions such that their traces on the boundary can be calculated by solving an integral equation which involves only the given partial Cauchy data. The construction entails a new family of Green's functions for the Laplacian, and corresponding single layer potentials, which may be of independent interest.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, with C^2 boundary, and let σ be a strictly positive function in $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is the operator on the boundary $\Lambda_\sigma : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ defined as

$$\Lambda_\sigma f = \sigma \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega},$$

where $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is the solution of the Dirichlet problem:

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = f \tag{1}$$

and ν denotes the exterior unit normal to Ω . If Ω models an inhomogeneous, isotropic body with conductivity σ then $\Lambda_\sigma f$ is the normal component of the current flux at the boundary corresponding to a voltage potential f on $\partial\Omega$.

In 1980, Calderón [C] posed the following problem: decide whether σ is uniquely determined by Λ_σ and, if so, find a method to reconstruct σ from knowledge of Λ_σ . The problem is of practical interest in medical imaging and

*nachman@math.toronto.edu; Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Room 6290, 40 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario; The Edward S. Rogers Sr. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, 10 King's College Road, Toronto, Ontario

†street@math.wisc.edu; University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Mathematics, 480 Lincoln Dr., 53706

geophysics, where one seeks to image the conductivity of a body by making voltage and current measurements at its surface. For a summary of the considerable progress achieved on Calderón's problem since his groundbreaking paper, see [GKLU], Section 2.

Recent work has shown that uniqueness in the above problem holds even if measurements are available only on part of the boundary. Bukhgeim and Uhlmann [BU] proved that knowledge of values of Λ_σ on, roughly, slightly more than half of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ for all f uniquely determines the conductivity σ in Ω (assuming it is known on $\partial\Omega$). This was improved by Kenig, Sjöstrand, and Uhlmann [KSU] who assumed $\Lambda_\sigma f$ known on a possibly very small open subset U of the boundary for f supported in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega \setminus U$. (We describe this result more precisely below.)

The methods in [KSU] are non-constructive: one assumes that one is given two Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps which agree on appropriate subsets of the boundary and one shows that the corresponding conductivities must also agree. In this paper, we give a reconstruction method. As in the solution of the reconstruction part of Calderón's problem in [N1], we would like to set up an integral equation on the boundary which in this case involves only the given data and yields the boundary values of the geometric optics solutions introduced in [KSU]. The main difficulty is that the complex geometrical optics solutions of [KSU] are highly non-unique. Starting from the Carleman estimate of [KSU] we show how to construct new solutions which are uniquely specified and for which the boundary values can be calculated by solving an integral equation which involves only the assumed partial knowledge of the Cauchy data. To do so we construct, given a (possibly small) open subset U of $\partial\Omega$ as above, a new family of Green's functions $G(x, y)$ for the Laplacian which vanish, roughly speaking, when $x \in U$ or when $y \in \partial\Omega \setminus U$ (see Theorem 3.2 for a precise statement). We also give a novel treatment of the boundedness properties of the corresponding single layer operators, which may be of independent interest. These are the main ingredients needed for our boundary integral equation.

We now turn to more rigorous details. Fix any point x_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\text{ch}(\Omega)}$, the complement of the closure of the convex hull, $\text{ch}(\Omega)$, of Ω . Following [KSU], we define the front and back faces of $\partial\Omega$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_0) &= \{x \in \partial\Omega : (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \leq 0\} \\ B(x_0) &= \{x \in \partial\Omega : (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness result of [KSU] can then be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 ([KSU], Cor. 1.4). *Let Ω , x_0 , $F(x_0)$, and $B(x_0)$ be as above, and let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be strictly positive. Assume that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ on $\partial\Omega$. Suppose that there exist open neighborhoods $\tilde{F}, \tilde{B} \subset \partial\Omega$ of $F(x_0)$ and $B(x_0)$ respectively, such that $\Lambda_{\sigma_1} f = \Lambda_{\sigma_2} f$ in $\tilde{F}$ for all $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ supported in $\tilde{B}$. Then $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ in Ω .*

The above theorem was obtained in [KSU] as a consequence of the following result for Schrödinger operators. Let $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ (possibly complex valued),

and assume that 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q$ in Ω . Then for any $v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ there is a unique (weak) solution $w \in H^1(\Omega)$ of

$$(-\Delta + q)w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad (2)$$

with $w|_{\partial\Omega} = v$. Define the corresponding Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda_q : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$\langle \text{tr}(w_0), \Lambda_q v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla w_0 \cdot \nabla w + q w_0 w \text{ for any } w_0 \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the bilinear pairing of $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ and $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$.

Theorem 1.2 ([KSU], Theorem 1.1). *Let $x_0, \Omega, F(x_0)$, and $B(x_0)$ be as above, and let $q_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $i = 1, 2$, be two potentials such that 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q_i$ in Ω . Suppose that there exist open neighborhoods $\tilde{F}, \tilde{B} \subset \partial\Omega$ of $F(x_0)$ and $B(x_0)$ respectively, such that $\Lambda_{q_1} v = \Lambda_{q_2} v$ in $\tilde{F}$, for all $v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ with support in $\tilde{B}$. Then, $q_1 = q_2$.*

The well-known substitution $u = \sigma^{-1/2} w$ in (1) yields a solution w of (2), with $q = \frac{\Delta \sigma^{1/2}}{\sigma^{1/2}}$, and

$$\Lambda_q = \sigma^{-1/2} \left(\Lambda_\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right) \sigma^{-1/2}. \quad (4)$$

We note that, for $\sigma \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, with $\sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$ known, $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \nu}$ can be reconstructed on $\tilde{F} \cap \tilde{B}$ from measurements of $\Lambda_\sigma f$ on $\tilde{F}$ for all $f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$ supported in $\tilde{B}$. (See Theorem 6(ii) in [N2].) Thus, we henceforth assume known the map $v \mapsto \Lambda_q v|_{\tilde{F}}$ for v supported in $\tilde{B}$ (see Remark 2.4 for the precise class of v).

As mentioned earlier, the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [KSU] is nonconstructive, and begins with the assumption that one is given two such q_1 and q_2 for which the partial boundary data agree. Under these assumptions, it was shown in [DSFKSU] that one can conclude that certain Radon transform information of $q_1 - q_2$ must vanish, and this is enough to show that $q_1 = q_2$ (actually, [DSFKSU] deals with more general magnetic Schrödinger operators). The goal of this paper is to show how, given the map $v \mapsto \Lambda_q v|_{\tilde{F}}$ for v supported in $\tilde{B}$, one may reconstruct the aforementioned Radon transform information of q .

We now describe more precisely the transform our method reconstructs. We follow the presentation of [DSFKSU], which provides a change of variables which will simplify the exposition. Fix $R > 0$ so large that $\overline{\Omega} \subset B(x_0, R)$, let H be a hyperplane separating x_0 and $\text{ch}(\Omega)$, and let H^+ denote the corresponding open half space containing $\overline{\Omega}$. Set

$$\Gamma = \{ \theta \in S^{n-1} : x_0 + R\theta \in H^+ \}$$

and let $\check{\Gamma}$ denote the image of Γ under the antipodal map. Fix $\alpha_0 \in S^{n-1} \setminus (\Gamma \cup \check{\Gamma})$. It is important that both x_0 and α_0 may be perturbed slightly, and all of our assumptions remain intact.

With this x_0 and α_0 fixed, we may translate and rotate Ω so that, without loss of generality, $x_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$; note, then, that $\bar{\Omega}$ does not intersect the line $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we write $x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We then switch to polar coordinates in the x' variable. Indeed, denote by $(x_1, r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^{n-2}$ such a coordinate system. Note that, since $\bar{\Omega}$ does not intersect $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\}$, these coordinates are good on all of $\bar{\Omega}$. Let z denote the complex variable $z = x_1 + ir$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{n-2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{S^{n-2}} \\ &= 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} + \frac{2(n-2)}{z - \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{1}{(z - \bar{z})^2} \Delta_{S^{n-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

With this notation, we now state our main result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let x_0 , Ω , $F(x_0)$, $B(x_0)$ be as above, and let $\tilde{F}, \tilde{B} \subset \partial\Omega$ be open neighborhoods of $F(x_0)$, respectively $B(x_0)$. Let $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q$ in Ω . Given $\Lambda_q v$ on $\tilde{F}$ for all¹ v supported in $\tilde{B}$ one can reconstruct the integrals*

$$\int q(x_1, r, \theta) g(\theta) dx_1 dr d\theta \quad (6)$$

for all $g \in C^\infty(S^{n-2})$. (Here $d\theta$ denotes the usual surface measure on the unit sphere S^{n-2} .)

By varying x_0 and α_0 slightly (staying within the given data), it is shown in [DSFKSU] that the resulting integrals determine q ; we refer the reader to that paper for the details of the proof.

A brief outline of our paper is as follows. In Section 2 we define the function space on the boundary in which our integral equation will be solved. In Section 3 we construct the new Green's operators for the Laplacian. In Section 4 we select appropriate uniquely specified complex geometrical optics solutions from those of [KSU] when $q = 0$. These will serve as “incident waves” in our construction. In Section 5 we define our new solutions and the corresponding nonlinear transform $t(\tau, q)$ of q . In Section 6, we introduce the new single layer operators and prove the unique solvability of our boundary integral equation. This yields the reconstruction of $t(\tau, q)$ from the partial data, and the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2 Function Spaces

We define the Bergman space

$$b_q = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : (-\Delta + q)u = 0\}$$

¹See Remark 2.4 for the precise class of v we work with.

and topologize it as a closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$. We define the harmonic Bergman space b_0 in a similar way, with q replaced by 0.

Following [BU], we work with the Hilbert space

$$H_\Delta(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\},$$

the maximal domain of the Laplacian, with norm

$$\|u\|_{H_\Delta(\Omega)}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

The trace map

$$\text{tr}(u) = u|_{\partial\Omega}$$

extends to a continuous map $H_\Delta(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$; moreover, if $u \in H_\Delta(\Omega)$ and $\text{tr}(u) \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$, then $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ (see [BU], [LM]). We define:

$$\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega) = \{\text{tr}(u) : u \in H_\Delta(\Omega)\} \subset H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$$

though for the moment, we do not define a topology on $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$. The space $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ will be the setting for our main boundary integral equation.

Note that $b_q \subset H_\Delta(\Omega)$, and so the trace map makes sense as a map $b_q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$. In fact, this map is one-to-one and onto.

Proposition 2.1. *If $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q$ in Ω , then the trace map $\text{tr} : b_q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ is one-to-one and onto.*

Proof. Suppose $u, v \in b_q$, with $\text{tr}(u) = \text{tr}(v)$. Then $w = u - v \in b_q$, with $\text{tr}(w) = 0$. Hence, $w \in H^2(\Omega)$, $(-\Delta + q)w = 0$, so by the hypothesis on q , $w = 0$. Thus tr is one-to-one.

Suppose $g \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$. Thus there exists a function $u \in H_\Delta(\Omega)$ such that $\text{tr}(u) = g$. Let v be the $H_0^1(\Omega)$ solution to the Dirichlet problem $(-\Delta + q)v = (-\Delta + q)u$, $\text{tr}(v) = 0$, and let $w = u - v$. Then $w \in H_\Delta(\Omega)$, $\text{tr}(w) = g$ and $(-\Delta + q)w = 0$. Thus tr is onto. $\square$

We define $\mathcal{P}_0$ to be the inverse of $\text{tr} : b_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{P}_q$ to be the inverse of $\text{tr} : b_q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$. We now define the norm on $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ by $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)} = \|\mathcal{P}_0(g)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. With this topology on $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$, the above maps are all continuous.

Lemma 2.2. *The map $\text{tr} : H_\Delta(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ is continuous, and under the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1, $\text{tr} : b_q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Take $u \in H_\Delta(\Omega)$, let v be the unique $H_0^1(\Omega)$ solution to the Dirichlet problem $\Delta v = \Delta u$, $\text{tr}(v) = 0$, and let $w = u - v$. Note that the map $u \mapsto w$ is continuous $H_\Delta(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, and since $\Delta w = 0$, $u \mapsto w$ is continuous $H_\Delta(\Omega) \rightarrow b_0$. Thus, $u \mapsto \text{tr}(w)$ is continuous $H_\Delta(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$; however $\text{tr}(u) = \text{tr}(w)$, establishing the first claim.

Since b_q continuously embeds into $H_\Delta(\Omega)$, we have that $\text{tr} : b_q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ is continuous. Since it is bijective (Proposition 2.1) the open mapping theorem shows that it is a homeomorphism. $\square$

Having extended the solvability of the Dirichlet problem to boundary data in $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$, we now turn to the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and 0 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta + q$ in Ω . Then $\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0$ extends to a continuous map $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$.*

Proof. Suppose $f, g \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$. From (3) we have

$$\langle g, (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) f \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{P}_0(g) q \mathcal{P}_q(f). \quad (7)$$

The right hand side extends continuously to all $f, g \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ and therefore so does the left hand side. $\square$

Remark 2.4. We will henceforth assume knowledge of $(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) f|_{\tilde{F}}$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\tilde{B})$.

3 The Green's Operators

For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ (later on we will take $|\tau|$ large), define:

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau = z^{-\tau} \triangle z^\tau, \quad \overline{\mathcal{L}}_\tau = \overline{z}^{-\tau} \triangle \overline{z}^\tau.$$

Here, τ is playing the role that $\frac{1}{h}$ played in [KSU, DSFKSU]. Note that since $\overline{\Omega}$ lies in the open half plane $r = \text{Im}(z) > 0$, $z^\tau \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ (where z^τ is defined via the principal branch of the logarithm).

Remark 3.1. Of course, $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau}$ is the formal adjoint of $\mathcal{L}_\tau$, however we use the above notation since we will construct Green's operators for $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau}$ in tandem, which we will not *a priori* know to be adjoints of each other.

We define:

$$\partial\Omega_\pm = \{x \in \partial\Omega : \pm x \cdot \nu(x) \geq 0\}$$

Note that $F(0) = \partial\Omega_-$ and $B(0) = \partial\Omega_+$. For $x \in \partial\Omega$, we define $\gamma(x) = \frac{\sqrt{|x \cdot \nu(x)|}}{|x|}$. Then, the Carleman estimate of [KSU] (see also [DSFKSU]) can be written as: for $|\tau| > 0$ and all $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\text{tr}(u) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tau|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\gamma \partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)})} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & \lesssim |\tau|^{-1} \|\mathcal{L}_\tau u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\tau|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\gamma \partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)})}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and the same inequality holds with $\mathcal{L}_\tau$ replaced by $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_\tau$.

Define

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm = \{v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) : v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \partial_\nu v|_{\partial\Omega_\pm} = 0\}.$$

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $\tau \neq 0$, there exist operators*

$$G_\tau, \overline{G}_\tau : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

such that:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_\tau G_\tau = I = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_\tau \overline{G}_\tau$, i.e., $\Delta z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} = I = \Delta \overline{z}^\tau \overline{G}_\tau \overline{z}^{-\tau}$.
- (ii) $\|G_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)}, \|\overline{G}_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\tau|}\right)$ for $|\tau| \gg 0$.
- (iii) $G_\tau : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow z^{-\tau} H_\Delta(\Omega)$ and for all $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\text{tr}(G_\tau u)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. Similarly, $\text{tr}(\overline{G}_\tau u)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$.
- (iv) $G_\tau^* = \overline{G}_{-\tau}$.
- (v) If $v \in \mathcal{D}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}$, then $G_\tau \mathcal{L}_\tau v = v$, with a similar result for $\overline{G}_\tau$.

Let $1 - \pi_\tau$ be the orthogonal projection onto the closure in $L^2(\Omega)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$, and $1 - \overline{\pi}_\tau$ the projection onto the closure in $L^2(\Omega)$ of $\mathcal{L}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$.

Lemma 3.3. π_τ is the orthogonal projection onto

$$\{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}_\tau u = 0 \text{ and } \text{tr}(u) \text{ is supported in } \partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}\},$$

with a similar result for $\overline{\pi}_\tau$.

Proof. Indeed, we will show that u is orthogonal to $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ if and only if u is as in the statement of the lemma. Suppose u is orthogonal to $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. Then, in particular, for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, we have:

$$\langle u, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$$

and thus $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = 0$. Next, allowing $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ to be arbitrary we see that:

$$0 = \langle \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\partial\Omega_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}} (\partial_\nu v) \overline{\text{tr}(u)}$$

and it follows that $\text{tr}(u)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$.

The converse follows by the same integration by parts, and is left to the reader. $\square$

The following lemma yields a unique solution of $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = f$ which vanishes on $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ and is in the range of $1 - \pi_\tau$. The proof is a simple modification of arguments in [LM], [BU], [KSU].

Lemma 3.4. *Given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\tau \neq 0$ there exists a unique $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that*

1. $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = f$,
2. $\text{tr}(u)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$,

3. $\pi_\tau u = 0$.

Moreover, this u satisfies $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim |\tau|^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof. We begin by showing uniqueness. If $\tilde{u}$ is another solution of $\mathcal{L}_\tau \tilde{u} = f$ satisfying conditions 2 and 3 above, then $\mathcal{L}_\tau (\tilde{u} - u) = 0$ and $\text{tr}(\tilde{u} - u)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. Thus, in view of Lemma 3.3, $\tilde{u} - u = \pi_\tau (\tilde{u} - u)$. However, condition 3 above shows $\pi_\tau (\tilde{u} - u) = 0$, and it follows that $\tilde{u} = u$.

To show existence, define a linear function l initially on $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ by:²

$$l(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v) = \langle v, f \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

We have:

$$|l(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v)| \leq \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim |\tau|^{-1} \|\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (9)$$

where we have applied the Carleman estimate (8). The functional l extends by continuity to the closure of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. Define $l \equiv 0$ on the orthogonal complement in $L^2(\Omega)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. There exists a unique $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\langle \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = l(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v) = \langle v, f \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (1 - \pi_\tau) u = u.$$

Moreover (9) shows that $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim |\tau|^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Taking $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the above equation shows that $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = f$. Now letting $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ be arbitrary, we see via Green's formula:

$$\langle \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\partial\Omega_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}} (\partial_\nu v) \overline{\text{tr}(u)} + \langle v, f \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and therefore, $\int_{\partial\Omega_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}} (\partial_\nu v) \overline{\text{tr}(u)} = 0$. Thus, as $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ was arbitrary, $\text{tr}(u)$ must be supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. $\square$

Define $H_\tau : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ by $H_\tau f = u$, where u and f are as in Lemma 3.4. In a similar manner, we construct $\overline{H}_\tau$. We then have:

1. $\mathcal{L}_\tau H_\tau = I = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_\tau \overline{H}_\tau$
2. $(1 - \pi_\tau) H_\tau = H_\tau, (1 - \overline{\pi}_\tau) \overline{H}_\tau = \overline{H}_\tau$
3. $\|H_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)} = O(|\tau|^{-1}), \|\overline{H}_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)} = O(|\tau|^{-1})$
4. For all $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\text{tr}(H_\tau u)$ and $\text{tr}(\overline{H}_\tau u)$ are supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$.

²Here we are using $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$ to denote the *sesquilinear* pairing between two L^2 functions. This is in contrast to our notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ without the subscript, which denotes the *bilinear* pairing of a distribution and a test function.

Moreover, H_τ is characterized by the fact that $(1 - \pi_\tau) H_\tau = H_\tau$ and

$$\langle H_\tau f, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}.$$

Thus, the operators $H_\tau, \overline{H}_\tau$ satisfy (i)-(iii) of Theorem 3.2. We need to suitably modify $H_\tau, \overline{H}_\tau$ to obtain the crucial property (iv).

As a preliminary step, we define

$$T_\tau = H_\tau (1 - \overline{\pi}_{-\tau}), \quad \overline{T}_\tau = \overline{H}_\tau (1 - \pi_{-\tau})$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$T_\tau^* = \overline{T}_{-\tau}$$

Proof. Since $T_\tau^* \pi_\tau = 0 = \overline{T}_{-\tau} \pi_\tau$, it suffices to show that

$$T_\tau^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v = \overline{H}_{-\tau} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$$

Moreover, since $(1 - \overline{\pi}_{-\tau}) T_\tau^* = T_\tau^*$ and $(1 - \overline{\pi}_{-\tau}) \overline{H}_{-\tau} = \overline{H}_{-\tau}$, it suffices to show:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_\tau w, T_\tau^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle \mathcal{L}_\tau w, \overline{H}_{-\tau} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{D}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}, v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$$

By the definition of $\overline{H}_{-\tau}$ (since $w \in \mathcal{D}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}$), we have:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_\tau w, \overline{H}_{-\tau} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle w, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_\tau w, T_\tau^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \langle \mathcal{L}_\tau w, (1 - \overline{\pi}_{-\tau}) H_\tau^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle H_\tau (1 - \overline{\pi}_{-\tau}) \mathcal{L}_\tau w, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle H_\tau \mathcal{L}_\tau w, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L}_\tau w, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle w, \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

where in the second to last line we used the definition of H_τ and in the last line we integrated by parts and used the fact that $w \in \mathcal{D}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}$, $v \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Define

$$G_\tau = H_\tau + \pi_\tau \overline{H}_{-\tau}^*, \quad \overline{G}_\tau = \overline{H}_\tau + \overline{\pi}_\tau H_{-\tau}^*.$$

It follows from the construction of H_τ and Lemma 3.3 that $\mathcal{L}_\tau G_\tau = I$, $\text{tr}(G_\tau u)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{\text{sgn}(\tau)}$ for all $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\|G_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)} = O(|\tau|^{-1})$, and

similar results hold for $\overline{G}_\tau$. To show that $G_\tau^* = \overline{G}_{-\tau}$, we use Lemma 3.5:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_\tau^* &= H_\tau^* + \overline{H}_{-\tau} \pi_\tau \\
&= (H_\tau \overline{\pi}_{-\tau} + T_\tau)^* + \overline{H}_{-\tau} - \overline{T}_{-\tau} \\
&= \overline{\pi}_{-\tau} H_\tau^* + \overline{T}_{-\tau} + \overline{H}_{-\tau} - \overline{T}_{-\tau} \\
&= \overline{H}_{-\tau} + \overline{\pi}_{-\tau} H_\tau^* \\
&= \overline{G}_{-\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

To verify (v), consider, for $h \in L^2(\Omega)$, $v \in \mathcal{D}_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h, G_\tau \mathcal{L}_\tau v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \langle \overline{G}_{-\tau} h, \mathcal{L}_\tau v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \langle \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} \overline{G}_{-\tau} h, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \langle h, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the second to last line, we have integrated by parts and used that $\text{tr}(\overline{G}_{-\tau} h)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_{-\text{sgn}(\tau)}$. $\square$

4 Special Solutions when $q = 0$

In this section we consider only $\tau > 0$. All of the results in this section hold for $\tau < 0$, provided one reverses the roles of $\partial\Omega_+$ and $\partial\Omega_-$ everywhere. Recall that $\tilde{B}$ is a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega_+$. The goal of this section is to construct a family of harmonic functions u_τ in Ω which vanish on $\partial\Omega \setminus \partial\tilde{B}$ and have specified asymptotics for large τ . More precisely, given any $g \in C^\infty(S^{n-2})$, we construct $\mu_\tau = z^{-\tau} u_\tau \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that:

1. $\mathcal{L}_\tau \mu_\tau = 0$, ie $\Delta u_\tau = 0$.
2. The support of $\text{tr}(\mu_\tau)$ is in $\tilde{B}$.
3. $\mu_\tau \rightarrow (z - \bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\theta)$, in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

To do this, we use an extension of Lemma 3.4 for the case where the solution is prescribed (not necessarily zero) on $\partial\Omega_-$.

Define $\mathcal{D} = \{f \in C^2(\Omega) : f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, and define

$$M_\tau = \{(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} f, \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega_+}) : f \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

We think of M_τ as a (non-closed) subspace of $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\tau\gamma^2 dS, \partial\Omega_+)$, where dS denotes the surface measure on $\partial\Omega$. Let $\mathcal{M}_\tau$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the closure of M_τ in this Hilbert space. We then have the following result, which is essentially Proposition 7.1 of [KSU].

Proposition 4.1. *Given*

$$v \in L^2(\Omega), v_- \in L^2\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}dS, \partial\Omega_-\right),$$

there exists a unique $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

1. $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = v,$
2. $\text{tr}(u)|_{\partial\Omega_-} = v_-,$
3. $\mathcal{M}_\tau(u, -\text{tr}(u)|_{\partial\Omega_+}) = (u, -\text{tr}(u)|_{\partial\Omega_+}).$

This u satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{1}{\gamma} v_- \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}.$$

Proof. Define a linear function l on M_τ by:

$$l(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} f, \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega_+}) = \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle \partial_\nu f, v_- \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}.$$

Let $l \equiv 0$ on the orthogonal complement of M_τ . Note that (using (8)):

$$\begin{aligned} |l(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} f, \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega_-})| &\leq \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\gamma \partial_\nu f\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)} \|v_-\|_{L^2(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}dS, \partial\Omega_-)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{1}{\gamma} v_- \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)} \right) \left(\|\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\gamma \partial_\nu f\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

However, the norm applied to $(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} f, \partial_\nu f|_{\partial\Omega_+})$ on the RHS is precisely the norm in $L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\tau\gamma^2 dS, \partial\Omega_+)$. Thus, there exists

$$(u, u_+) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\tau^{-1}\gamma^{-2}dS, \partial\Omega_+)$$

with

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \frac{1}{\gamma} v_- \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}$$

and satisfying:

$$\langle \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau} f, u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle \partial_\nu f, u_+ \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)} = \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle \partial_\nu f, v_- \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)}$$

Taking $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ shows that $\mathcal{L}_\tau u = v$. Now allowing $f \in \mathcal{D}$ to be arbitrary shows that $\text{tr}(u)|_{\partial\Omega_-} = v_-$ and $\text{tr}(u)|_{\partial\Omega_+} = -u_+$. It is clear that this u is unique under the conditions of the proposition. $\square$

Let $R_\tau(v, v_-) = u$, where u, v, v_- are as in Proposition 4.1. By reversing the roles of $\partial\Omega_+$ and $\partial\Omega_-$ we also get $R_{-\tau}(v, v_+)$ where $v_+ \in L^2(\frac{1}{\gamma^2}dS, \partial\Omega_+)$.

We now turn to the construction of the solutions promised at the beginning of this section. It is easy to see, using (5), that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\tau &= \Delta + \tau \left(\frac{4}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{2(n-2)}{(z-\bar{z})z} \right) \\ &= \Delta + \frac{\tau}{z} L\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{2(n-2)}{(z-\bar{z})}$$

Note that:

$$L \left((z-\bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\theta) \right) = 0$$

and so:

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau (z-\bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\theta) = \Delta (z-\bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\theta)$$

Hence, if we let $\chi_+ \in C_0^\infty(\{x \in \partial\Omega : x \cdot \nu < 0\})$, with $\chi_+ = 1$ on an open set containing $\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{B}$, we see that (if $h_+ = (z-\bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\theta)$):

$$\mu_\tau = h_+ - R_\tau(\Delta h_+, (\chi_+) \operatorname{tr}(h_+))$$

has the desired properties.

In addition, if we take $\chi_- \in C_0^\infty(\{x \in \partial\Omega : x \cdot \nu > 0\})$, with $\chi_- = 1$ on a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{F}$, and if we define $h_- = (z-\bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}$,

$$\nu_{-\tau} = h_- - R_{-\tau}(\Delta h_-, (\chi_-) \operatorname{tr}(h_-))$$

satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{-\tau} \nu_{-\tau} = 0$, the support of $\operatorname{tr}(\nu_{-\tau})$ is in $\tilde{F}$, and $\nu_{-\tau} \rightarrow (z-\bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. For the rest of the paper, we fix this choice of harmonic functions $u_\tau = z^\tau \mu_\tau$ and $v_{-\tau} = z^{-\tau} \nu_{-\tau}$.

5 Special Solutions for General q

In this section we construct our family of solutions $w_\tau = z^\tau \omega_\tau$ of $(-\Delta + q)w_\tau = 0$ in Ω , which vanish on $\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{B}$ and have specified asymptotics for large τ .

We also define a corresponding nonlinear transform of q . We take u_τ and $v_{-\tau}$ as constructed in Section 4. Recall that u_τ was defined in terms of a fixed $g \in C^\infty(S^{n-2})$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let Ω , q , x_0 , $F(x_0)$, $B(x_0)$, $\tilde{F}$, and $\tilde{B}$ be as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3. For $\tau \gg 0$, $g \in C^\infty(S^{n-2})$ and μ_τ as above, there exists a unique solution $\omega_\tau = z^{-\tau} w_\tau$ of the integral equation*

$$\omega_\tau = \mu_\tau + G_\tau q \omega_\tau. \tag{10}$$

This solution satisfies

- (i) $(-\mathcal{L}_\tau + q)\omega_\tau = 0$,
- (ii) $\text{tr}(\omega_\tau)$ is supported in $\tilde{B}$,
- (iii) $\omega_\tau \rightarrow (z - \bar{z})^{\frac{n-2}{2}} g(\theta)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ norm as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, unique solvability of (10) for τ sufficiently large follows from the bound $\|G_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)} = O(\tau^{-1})$ (Theorem 3.2 (ii)). Property (i) follows from $\mathcal{L}_\tau \mu_\tau = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_\tau G_\tau = I$. Property (ii) is a consequence of the support properties of $\text{tr}(\mu_\tau)$ and $\text{tr} \circ G_\tau$ (Theorem 3.2 (iii)). Finally, (iii) follows from the corresponding asymptotics of μ_τ and the above bound on G_τ . $\square$

By analogy with the approach to Calderón's problem in [N1], [N2], we define the following nonlinear transform of q

$$t(\tau, g) = t(\tau, g, x_0, \alpha_0) = \int_{\Omega} v_{-\tau} q w_\tau = \int_{\Omega} \nu_{-\tau} q \omega_\tau \quad (11)$$

with ω_τ as constructed above and $\nu_{-\tau}$ the solution for homogeneous background defined in Section 4. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} t(\tau, g) &= \int_{\Omega} (z - \bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} q (z - \bar{z})^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g \\ &= \int_{\Omega} q(x_1, r, \theta) g(\theta) (2ir)^{-(n-2)} r^{n-2} dr d\theta dx_1 \\ &= (2i)^{-(n-2)} \int_{\Omega} q(x_1, r, \theta) g(\theta) dr d\theta dx_1. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus, to prove Theorem 1.3 it suffices to reconstruct $t(\tau, g)$ from the given partial knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

Theorem 5.2. *Given partial knowledge of Λ_q as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3, one can reconstruct $t(\tau, g)$ for any $g \in C^\infty(S^{n-2})$ and τ sufficiently large.*

Proof. Using (7) we can express $t(\tau, g)$ in terms of boundary data as

$$t(\tau, g) = \int_{\Omega} v_{-\tau} q w_\tau = \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(v_{-\tau}) (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) \text{tr}(w_\tau). \quad (13)$$

Recall that $v_{-\tau}$ was defined independently of q . Since $\text{tr}(v_{-\tau})$ is supported in $\tilde{F}$ and $\text{tr}(w_\tau)$ is supported in $\tilde{B}$, the above formula only involves the given partial knowledge of Λ_q . The proof will be completed in the following section, where we will show that $\text{tr}(w_\tau)$ can be reconstructed from the given data.

6 Single Layer Operators and the Boundary Integral Equation

In this section, we define the single layer operators S_τ corresponding to the Green's operators constructed in Section 3 and show that $\text{tr}(w_\tau)$ can be recon-

structed as the unique solution of the integral equation in $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$:

$$\mathrm{tr}(w_\tau) = \mathrm{tr}(u_\tau) + S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) \mathrm{tr}(w_\tau) \quad (14)$$

(Compare with (0.17) in [N2].)

Consider the map

$$(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* : \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\partial\Omega)^* \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

defined for $h \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\partial\Omega)^*$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ by:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\overline{(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h} \right) f = \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{h} (\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau) f$$

Lemma 6.1. *For all $h \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}(\partial\Omega)^*$,*

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{-\tau}(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h = 0$
- (ii) $\mathrm{tr}((\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h)$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_-$, if $\tau > 0$.

Moreover if $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}$ is a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega_+$ such that $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \subset \widetilde{B}$, and if h is supported in $\partial\Omega \setminus \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}$, we have that $(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h = 0$.

Proof. If h is supported in $\partial\Omega \setminus \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\overline{(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h} \right) f = \int_{\partial\Omega_+} \overline{h} (\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau) f = 0$$

for all $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, since $(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau) f$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_+$. Thus, $(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h = 0$.

Now consider, for $f \in \mathcal{D}_-$ and all h ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left(\overline{(\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* h} \right) \mathcal{L}_\tau f &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{h} (\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau) \mathcal{L}_\tau f \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{h} \mathrm{tr}(f) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where in the second to last line we have used Theorem 3.2 (v), and in the last line we have used that $\mathrm{tr}(f) = 0$. The rest of the lemma now follows from (15) and integration by parts (similar to that in Lemma 3.4). $\square$

For any $\tau \neq 0$, define the operator S_τ on $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$ as

$$S_\tau h = z^\tau (\mathrm{tr} \circ (\mathrm{tr} \circ G_\tau)^*)^* (h z^{-\tau}) \quad (16)$$

To streamline the notation we assume $\tau > 0$ in some of the results below.

Proposition 6.2. (i) S_τ is a bounded operator $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$.

(ii) If $\tau > 0$, $S_\tau h$ only depends on $h|_{\tilde{F}}$.

(iii) If $\tau > 0$, $S_\tau h$ is supported in $\tilde{B}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.1 (i) that

$$(\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* : \overline{z}^\tau \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^* \rightarrow \overline{z}^\tau H_\Delta(\Omega),$$

hence $\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* : \overline{z}^\tau \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^* \rightarrow \overline{z}^\tau \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$. Thus, $(\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^*)^*$ is a bounded operator $z^{-\tau} \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^* \rightarrow z^{-\tau} \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$ and (i) follows.

(ii) By Lemma 6.1 (ii), if $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$ then $\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* \overline{z}^\tau \tilde{h}$ is supported in $\partial\Omega_-$, so that for $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$ one may compute $z^\tau (\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^*)^* z^{-\tau} h$ using only knowledge of $h|_{\tilde{F}}$.

(iii) Since $\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* \overline{z}^\tau \tilde{h} = 0$ for all $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$ supported in $\partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{B}$, we see that $z^\tau (\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^*)^* z^{-\tau} h$ is supported in $\tilde{B}$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$. $\square$

It follows from the above and Proposition 2.3 that the operator $S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0)$ is bounded $\mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$, and that for $\tau > 0$ and any $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$, $S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0)h$ is supported in $\tilde{B}$. Moreover, if $\tau > 0$ and h is supported in $\tilde{B}$, then $S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0)h$ can be computed using only the given partial knowledge of Λ_q .

To prove the solvability of the integral equation (14), we'll use the following factorization identity (compare with (7.4) of [N2]).

Proposition 6.3.

$$S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) = \text{tr} \circ z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q \mathcal{P}_q.$$

Proof. Consider, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)^*$, $h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$,

$$\langle \overline{f}, \text{tr} \circ z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q \mathcal{P}_q h \rangle = \int_\Omega \left(\overline{z^{-\tau} (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* \overline{z}^\tau f} \right) q \mathcal{P}_q h.$$

By Lemma 6.1, $\overline{z^{-\tau} (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* \overline{z}^\tau f} \in b_0$ and, using (7) we find that the right hand side of the above equality equals

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \overline{z^{-\tau} \text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^* \overline{z}^\tau f}, (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) h \right\rangle &= \left\langle \overline{f}, z^\tau (\text{tr} \circ (\text{tr} \circ G_\tau)^*)^* z^{-\tau} (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) h \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \overline{f}, S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The next three results below will yield the solvability of (14).

Proposition 6.4. For $f, h \in \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$,

$$[I - S_\tau(\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0)]h = f$$

if and only if

$$(I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q) \mathcal{P}_q h = \mathcal{P}_0 f.$$

Corollary 6.5.

$$I - S_\tau (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) : \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\partial\Omega)$$

is an isomorphism if and only if

$$I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q : b_q \rightarrow b_0$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 6.6. For $|\tau| \gg 0$,

$$I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q : b_q \rightarrow b_0$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof of Proposition 6.4. Suppose $[I - S_\tau (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0)] h = f$. We wish to show that

$$(I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q) \mathcal{P}_q h = \mathcal{P}_0 f.$$

Since

$$\Delta (I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q) \mathcal{P}_q h = q \mathcal{P}_q h - q \mathcal{P}_q h = 0,$$

it suffices to show that

$$\text{tr} ((I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q) \mathcal{P}_q h) = f$$

ie that

$$h - \text{tr} (z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q \mathcal{P}_q h) = f.$$

However, Proposition 6.3 shows that the left side equals $h - S_\tau (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) h$, which we are assuming equal to f .

For the converse, suppose that

$$(I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q) \mathcal{P}_q h = \mathcal{P}_0 f$$

taking the trace of both sides yields, using Proposition 6.3

$$h - S_\tau (\Lambda_q - \Lambda_0) h = f,$$

as claimed. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 6.6. Since $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and since $\|G_\tau\|_{L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\tau|}\right)$ (Theorem 3.2 (ii)), $I - G_\tau q : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism for $|\tau|$ sufficiently large. For such τ , the operator $I - z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} q$ is then an isomorphism $L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ with inverse $z^\tau (I - G_\tau q)^{-1} z^{-\tau}$. If $u \in b_0$, we claim

$$w = z^\tau (I - G_\tau q)^{-1} z^{-\tau} u \in b_q.$$

Indeed,

$$w - z^\tau G_\tau q z^{-\tau} w = u$$

and $\Delta z^\tau G_\tau z^{-\tau} = I$, hence $\Delta w - q w = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.2 (completed). Let w_τ be defined as in Proposition 5.1. Then, in view of Proposition 6.4, $\text{tr}(w_\tau)$ satisfies (14). Corollary 6.5 and Proposition 6.6 show that (14) is uniquely solvable for large τ . Substituting the solution $\text{tr}(w_\tau)$ in formula (13) yields $t(\tau, g)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We solve the boundary integral equation (14) for $\tau \gg 0$, as indicated above. We then calculate $t(\tau, g)$ using formula (13). The large τ limit (12) then gives the integrals (6), as claimed. $\square$

References

- [BU] Alexander L. Bukhgeim and Gunther Uhlmann, *Recovering a potential from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002), no. 3-4, 653–668.
- [C] Alberto-P. Calderón, *On an inverse boundary value problem*, Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980), Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980, pp. 65–73.
- [DSFKSU] David Dos Santos Ferreira, Carlos E. Kenig, Johannes Sjöstrand, and Gunther Uhlmann, *Determining a magnetic Schrödinger operator from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 2, 467–488.
- [GKLU] Allan Greenleaf, Yaroslav Kurylev, Matti Lassas, and Gunther Uhlmann, *Invisibility and inverse problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **46** (2009), no. 1, 55–97. MR MR2457072
- [KSU] Carlos E. Kenig, Johannes Sjöstrand, and Gunther Uhlmann, *The Calderón problem with partial data*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 567–591.
- [LM] J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications. Vol. 1*, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, No. 17, Dunod, Paris, 1968. MR MR0247243 (40 #512)
- [N1] Adrian I. Nachman, *Reconstructions from boundary measurements*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 3, 531–576.
- [N2] ———, *Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **143** (1996), no. 1, 71–96. MR MR1370758 (96k:35189).